



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,977	12/21/2001	Hani Shennib	CATT-001	7816	
24353	7590 08/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			LANDREM, KAMRIN R		
200 MIDDLE SUITE 200	FIELD RD		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MENLO PAR	RK, CA 94025		3738		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 19			
Advisory Action	10/025,977	SHENNIB ET AL.	/			
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kamrin R. Landrem	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		•			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.					
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		\				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13,15-18 and 30-38</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
3.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	David J. Isabella	Kamrin Landrem				
	Primary Examiner	Examiner AU 3738				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Contrary to applicant's arguments Kuehn does in fact disclose an apparatus comprising a fastener 402, which can be used to both temporarily grasp and permanently secure (via tack 424) together the leaflets of a cardiac valve. (See Figures 16-17 and column 8, line 59- column 9 line 45). The fastener applicator 404 is an instrument that is used to apply the permanent fastening means 424 and the instrument is then removed from the body. Grasping arms 402 are capable of being released and removed from grasping engagement with the leaflets.